# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

\* \* \* \* \*

DELTA-MONTROSE ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION,	)
COMPLAINANT,	)
V.	) PROCEEDING NO. 18F-0866E
TRI-STATE GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION ASSOCIATION, INC.,	) ) )
RESPONDENT.	)

## RESPONSE TO PETITIONS TO INTERVENE AND MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO PARTICIPATE AS AMICUS CURIAE

Pursuant to Colorado Public Utilities Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure 4 CCR 723-1-1308(a) and 4 CCR 723-1-1400(b), Complainant Delta-Montrose Electric Association (DMEA) responds to the Petitions for Intervention and the Motions for Leave to Participate as *Amicus Curiae* filed in this proceeding.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. On December 6, 2018, DMEA filed its Formal Complaint against Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. DMEA filed a Motion for Procedural Schedule and Request for Hearing *En Banc* on December 10, 2018. The Commission issued an Order to Satisfy or Answer and Notice of Hearing on December 10, 2018.
- 2. On December 17, 2018, Tri-State filed a Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Formal Complaint and a Response to Motion Requesting the Commission Establish a Procedural Schedule and Request for Commission Hearing *En Banc*. On December 18, 2018,

DMEA filed a Response to Tri-State's Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Formal Complaint.

- 3. On December 28, 2018, the Commission issued Decision No. C18-1177-I granting DMEA's motion to hear the case *en banc*, requiring DMEA and Tri-State to file a joint procedural schedule by January 28, 2019, extending the deadline for Tri-State's response to DMEA's Formal Complaint to January 15, 2019, and setting a status conference for February 6, 2019.
- 4. Between January 4, 2019 and January 11, 2019, various entities filed petitions for intervention or motions to participate as *amicus curiae* in this proceeding:

Party Name	Filing Type	Date Filed
Sierra Club	Permissive Intervention or, in the alternative, <i>Amicus Curiae</i>	1/4/19
Mountain View Electric Association	Intervention as of Right or, in the alternative, Permissive Intervention	1/7/19
Poudre Valley Rural Electric Association	Permissive Intervention	1/7/19
San Luis Valley Rural Electric Cooperative	Permissive Intervention or, in the alternative, <i>Amicus Curiae</i>	1/8/19
White River Electric Association	Permissive Intervention	1/9/19
United Power, Inc.	Intervention as of Right or, in the alternative, for Permission to Intervene or to Participate as <i>Amicus Curiae</i>	1/9/19
Highline Electric Association, Y-W Electric Association, and Mountain Parks Electric, Inc.	Amicus Curiae	1/9/19
Joint Conservation Parties: Western Resource Advocates San Juan Citizens Alliance Southwest Energy Efficiency Project Vote Solar	Amicus Curiae	1/9/19
Nebraska Tri-State Members: Wheat Belt Public Power District Midwest Electric Cooperative Corporation	Permissive Intervention	1/9/19

Roosevelt Public Power District Chimney Rock Public Power District Panhandle Rural Electric Membership Association  Joint Cooperative Movants: Southeast Colorado Power Association, Inc. High West Energy Wyrulec Company Big Horn Rural Electrical Company Carbon Power & Light Garland Light & Power K.C. Electric Association Otero County Electric Cooperative, Inc. Central New Mexico Cooperative Mora-San Miguel Electric Cooperative Mora-San Miguel Electric Cooperative Socorro Electric Cooperative Sierra Electric Cooperative, Inc. Gunnison County Electric Association, Inc. Southwestern Electric Cooperative Columbus Electric Cooperative Northern Rio Arriba Electric Cooperative, Inc. Wheatland Rural Electric Association Springer Electric Cooperative High Plains Power, Inc. Niobrara Electric Association, Inc. San Isabel Electric Association, Inc. Morgan Country Rural Electric Association	Permissive Intervention  Amicus Curiae	1/11/19 (Amended)
Colorado Solar Energy Industries Association	Amicus Curiae	1/11/19

- 5. There are now 42 filing entities. There were initially 43, but one Tri-State member cooperative, San Miguel Power Association, appears to have been erroneously included by the Joint Cooperative Movants in their initial filing. The Joint Cooperative Movants amended their initial filing without explanation of the change.
- 6. These various entities articulate three different requests for relief: (1) requests to intervene as of right; (2) requests to intervene by permission; and (3) requests to participate as

*amici curiae*. Some request all three through alternative requests for relief, while others seek only permissive intervention or *amicus curiae* status.

#### **RESPONSE**

- 7. This Complaint involves a dispute between DMEA and Tri-State over a prescribed exit charge that Tri-State seeks to only apply to DMEA. While other entities and Tri-State member cooperatives may have incidental or policy interests in this case, Tri-State's obligations as a public utility under the Colorado Public Utilities Law (Articles 1 through 7 of Title 40, C.R.S.) are implicated in this Complaint by its specific application of the charge to DMEA. DMEA is acting on behalf of its member-owners who want just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory treatment from Tri-State.
- 8. The Colorado Public Utilities Law provides that the Commission "shall conduct its proceedings in such manner as will best conduce the proper dispatch of business and the ends of justice." As explained below, DMEA has no blanket objection to these entities' participation as *amici curiae*, but has concern that participation as intervenors will unnecessarily delay and add to the cost of adjudicating a just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory exit charge. DMEA urges the Commission to keep in mind that the purpose of this proceeding is expeditious resolution of a dispute between two parties: DMEA and Tri-State.
- 9. DMEA believes none of the entities meet the Rule 1401(b) standard for an intervention as of right.<sup>2</sup> Interventions by right are generally limited to a subset of intervenors, *i.e.*, Trial Staff of the Commission, the Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel, and the Colorado

-

<sup>§ 40-6-101(1),</sup> C.R.S.

Rule 1401(b) ("A notice of intervention as of right, unless filed by Commission staff, shall state the basis for the claimed legally protected right that may be affected by the proceeding. No decision shall be entered permitting intervention in response to a notice of intervention as of right.")

Energy Office (under certain circumstances). None of the petitions in this proceeding articulate an adequate "legally protected right that may be affected by the proceeding."

- DMEA exit from Tri-State may affect their respective rates and charges. But these Tri-State member cooperatives declined to participate as parties in the Tri-State Board Policy 316 process through which DMEA sought to exit Tri-State before coming to this Commission for relief under the Colorado Public Utilities Law. Indeed, only a handful of cooperatives commented in the Board Policy 316 process (with the majority favoring DMEA). But just one member cooperative, La Plata Electric Association, Inc., a Tri-State member cooperative that has not made any filings in this proceeding to date, chose to participate in the process, and no member cooperative seeking to intervene in this proceeding participated in the Board Policy 316 process as a party. Nevertheless, these same member cooperatives now petition to intervene as parties before this Commission as it adjudicates a just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory exit charge.
- 11. This failure to participate in the Board Policy 316 process as parties suggests the Tri-State member cooperatives seeking to intervene are concerned with *who* is making the decision on the exit charge as opposed to the exit charge itself. The issue of who is the appropriate decision-maker is a jurisdictional question and a question of law that does not require the Commission to confer intervenor status broadly to all comers and convert a two-party complaint proceeding into a proceeding with numerous intervenors.
- 12. Given the request for relief and alternative requests for relief filed by the various entities, DMEA believes treating all Tri-State member cooperatives as *amici curiae* makes the most sense and best serves administrative economy, while still providing the Commission with a full picture of this dispute. The Joint Conservation Parties, Sierra Club, and COSEIA should be

granted this same amicus curiae status. This approach allows the prospective parties to weigh in

on questions of law, including any question of Commission jurisdiction, while allowing the

proceeding to remain what it is—a dispute between Tri-State and DMEA as to whether the exit

charge prescribed by Tri-State is just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory as required by the

Colorado Public Utilities Law.

WHEREFORE, DMEA respectfully requests that the Commission grant all third parties

amicus curiae status in this proceeding.

DATED this 17th day of January 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew S. Larson

Raymond L. Gifford, #21853

Matthew S. Larson, #41305

Wilkinson Barker Knauer LLP

1755 Blake Street, Suite 470

Denver, Colorado 80202

Phone: (303) 626-2350

Email: rgifford@wbklaw.com

mlarson@wbklaw.com

and

Michael L. O'Donnell, #10273

Wheeler Trigg O'Donnell LLP

370 17th Street, Suite 4500

Denver, Colorado 80202

Phone: (303) 244-1850

Email: odonnell@wtotrial.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DELTA-MONTROSE

**ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION** 

6

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 17th day of January 2019, a copy of the foregoing **RESPONSE TO PETITIONS TO INTERVENE AND MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO PARTICIPATE AS** *AMICUS CURIAE* was filed with the Colorado Public Utilities Commission via e-file and a copy was served via e-mail to the following:

Ken Reif Rick Gordon Tom Dougherty Dietrich Hoefner Robert Youle Michael Carroll Jerome Sturhahn Timothy Woolley	kreif@tristategt.org rick.gordon@tristategt.org tdougherty@lrrc.com dhoefner@lrrc.com ryoule@shermanhoward.com mcarroll@shermanhoward.com jsturhahn@shermanhoward.com twoolley@tristategt.org	Tri-State Tri-State Tri-State Tri-State Tri-State Tri-State Tri-State Tri-State Tri-State
Cindy Schonhaut Tom Dixon	cindy.schonhaut@state.co.us thomas.dixon@coag.gov	OCC OCC
John D. Parker Michael L. O'Donnell Peter W. Herzog III	jparker@unitedpower.com odonnell@wtotrial.com pherzog@wtotrial.com	United Power, Inc. United Power, Inc. United Power, Inc.
Trina K. Zagar-Brown Alan J. Michalewicz	tzbrown@wrea.org amich@wrea.org	White River Electric Association, Inc. White River Electric Association, Inc.
Matthew Gerhart Ana Boyd	matt.gerhart@sierraclub.org ana.boyd@sierraclub.org	Sierra Club Sierra Club
David M. Dodero  Loren Howard	ddodero@hfak.com lhhoward@slvrec.com	San Luis Valley Rural Electric Cooperative San Luis Valley Rural Electric Cooperative
Randolph W. Starr Michael A. Westbrook Jeffrey C. Wadsworth	randy@starrwestbrook.com mike@starrwestbrook.com jwadsworth@pvrea.coop	PVREA PVREA PVREA
Jack P. Wolfe David A. Jarecke	wolfelaw@uos.net dave@nebenergylaw.com	Nebraska Tri-State Members Nebraska Tri-State Members
Jack P. Wolfe	wolfelaw@uos.net	Mountain View Electric Association
James R. Gerler	jgerler@petersen-fonda.com	Joint Cooperative Movants
Erin A. Overturf Gwen Farnsworth	erin.overturf@westernresources.org gwen.farnsworth@westernresources.org	Joint Conservation Parties Joint Conservation Parties

Mark Pearson	mark@sanjuancitizens.org	Joint Conservation Parties
Howard Geller	hgeller@swenergy.org	Joint Conservation Parties
Justin Brant	jbrant@swenergy.org	Joint Conservation Parties
Rick Gilliam	rick@votesolar.org	Joint Conservation Parties
Michael Hiatt	mhiatt@earthjustice.org	Joint Conservation Parties
Eleanor Greer	egreer@earthjustice.org	Joint Conservation Parties
Jacob Schlesinger Beren Argetsinger Mike Kruger	jschlesinger@keyesfox.com bargetsinger@keyesfox.com mkruger@coseia.org	COSEIA COSEIA
Raymond Gifford	rgifford@wbklaw.com	DMEA
Matthew Larson	mlarson@wbklaw.com	DMEA
Michael L. O'Donnell	odonnell@wtotrial.com	DMEA
Jasen Bronec	jasen.bronec@dmea.com	DMEA

/s/ Hannah Bucher
Hannah Bucher